

Attorney Document No: 15309-1US PM/MG/alIN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Denis Faucher
Serial No.: 09/933,798 Group Art Unit: 1771
Filed: August 22, 2001 Examiner: Ruddlock, Ula Corinna
For: INTEGRAL WATERPROOFING MEMBRANE
Agent: Paul Marcoux Tél: (418) 640-5988

Assistant Commissioner for
Patents and Trademarks
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
U.S.A.

Sir:

This letter is written in response to the Final Action dated July 16, 2003. If not already recorded and charged, the applicant submits concurrently herewith a petition for extension of time from October 16, 2003 to November 16, 2003 including an authorization to charge the fee for the one-month extension on our Deposit Account.

Applicant's agent wishes to thank supervisor Terrel Morris for taking time to review this application, a proposed response, and to grant an interview with applicant's agent's representative to discuss the response. At the interview, the question was the allowability of claim 1, the only independent claim in the application, in view of the cited Yamamoto

- 2 -

reference. At the interview, and in the proposed response, it was pointed out that, in claim 1, our waterproofing membrane had an impermeable layer adhered to one side of the waterproofing layer while Yamamoto had his impermeable layer (the insulating layer) adhered to one side of an intervening layer which layer was adhered to the waterproofing layer. Mr. Morris's position was that Yamamoto's impermeable layer was still adhered to the waterproofing layer even with the an intervening layer. It was therefore proposed to amend claim 1 to state that our impermeable layer was directly adhered to the waterproofing layer since this was not shown by Yamamoto. Mr. Morris agreed to this type of amendment would distinguish the claim from Yamamoto. The interview summary is enclosed herewith to be recorded.